## SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF

In re Pare	ntage:		NO.		
and		Petitioner,	FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW ON	ICLUSIONS OF LAW ON	
and	and Respondent		ACK PAT	ALLENGE TO KNOWLEDGMENT OF ERNITY	
[] Presumed Father, if applicable, Respondent			(FN)	FCL)	
[] Allege	d Father, if applicable,	Respondent.			
		I. BASIS FOR F	INDIN	GS	
The findings	are based upon:				
[] [] []	an order of default entered on		[Date	[Date]. The following people attended:	
	[] Mother [] Acknowledge [] Presumed Fat [] Guardian ad I	her	[] [] []	Mother's Attorney Acknowledged Father's Attorney Presumed Father's Attorney Other:	
W. EDIDDIGG OF FACT					

## II. FINDINGS OF FACT

Based upon the court record, the court FINDS:

## 2.1 NOTICE AND PERSONAL JURISDICTION OVER THE PARTIES.

All parties necessary to adjudicate the issues were served with a copy of the summons and petition and are subject to the jurisdiction of this court. The facts below establish personal jurisdiction over the parties:

	[ ]	The <b>mother</b> signed an Acknowledgment of Paternity that was filed with the Washington State Registrar of Vital Statistics on [Date].
	[]	The <b>presumed father</b> signed a Denial of Paternity that was filed with the Washington State Registrar of Vital Statistics on [Date].
	[]	
		Other:
2.2	CHALLE	NGE PERIOD.
	This proceand:	reding began after the period for rescission of the Acknowledgment of Paternity ended
		more [] not more than two years after the date the Acknowledgment of Paternity was ed with the Washington State Registrar of Vital Statistics.
		more [] not more than two years after the date the Denial of Paternity was filed with e Washington State Registrar of Vital Statistics.
2.3	THE CHI	LD WHOSE PATERNITY IS AFFECTED IN THIS ACTION.
	This actio born on	n affects the paternity of [Name] [Date].
2.4	GENETIC	C TESTS.
	[] G	enetic tests were not performed in this proceeding. enetic tests were performed in this proceeding and the results indicate that the eknowledged father [] is [] is not excluded as the biological father of the child based on a probability of paternity of per cent.
	[]	enetic tests were performed in this proceeding and the results indicate that [Name] is the biological father of the child based upon a
	[] A w ch	the time the acknowledgment [] and denial of paternity were signed, genetic tests ere performed indicating the acknowledged father was not excluded as the father of the aild based upon a probability of paternity of per cent. This test result was at challenged in this proceeding.

2.5	FRAUD, DURESS OR MATERIAL MISTAKE OF FACT.			
	[Name] [] did [] did not show by clear, cogent and convincing evidence that he or she signed the Acknowledgment of Paternity under [] fraud [] duress or [] material mistake of fact, as follows:			
2.6	ACKNOWLEDGED FATHER AND CHILD RELATIONSHIP.			
	[]	Does not apply.  A declaration that the father and child relationship [] does not [] does exist between the acknowledged father and the child is in the best interest of the child.		
2.7	BASIS OF JURISDICTION OVER THE CHILD.			
	This co	ourt has jurisdiction over the child for the reasons set forth below.  This court has exclusive continuing jurisdiction. The court has previously made a child custody, parenting plan, residential schedule or visitation determination in this matter and retains jurisdiction under RCW 26.27.211.		
	[]	This state is the home state of the child because  [] the child lived in Washington with a parent or a person acting as a parent for at least six consecutive months immediately preceding the commencement of this proceeding.		
		<ul> <li>the child is less than six months old and has lived in Washington with a parent or a person acting as parent since birth.</li> <li>any absences from Washington have been only temporary.</li> <li>Washington was the home state of the child within six months before the commencement of this proceeding and the child is absent from the state but a parent</li> </ul>		
	[]	or person acting as a parent continued to live in this state.  The child and the parents or the child and at least one parent or person acting as a parent, have significant connection with the state other than mere physical presence; and substantial evidence is available in this state concerning the child's care, protection, training and personal relationships; and  [] the child has no home state elsewhere.  [] the child's home state has declined to exercise jurisdiction on the ground that this state is the more appropriate forum under RCW 26.27.261 or .271.		

	[]	a court of this state is the more appropriate forum to determine the custody of the child under RCW 26.27.261 or .271.		
	[]	No other state has jurisdiction. This court has temporary emergency jurisdiction over this proceeding because the child is present in this state and the child has been abandoned or it is necessary in an emergency to protect the child because the child, or a sibling or parent of the children is subjected to or threatened with abuse. RCW 26.27.231.		
	[]	Other:		
2.8	CHILI	O SUPPORT.		
	[]	Does not apply.		
	[]	A child support order was entered on [Date] requiring per month for the support of		
	[]	the child.  The child is in need of support and child support should be set pursuant to the Washington State Child Support Schedule. The Order of Child Support signed by the court on [Date] and the child support worksheet which has been approved by the court are incorporated by reference in these findings.		
	[]	Other:		
2.9 RESIDENTIAL SCHEDULE/PARENTING PLAN.		DENTIAL SCHEDULE/PARENTING PLAN.		
	[]	Does not apply.  The residential schedule/parenting plan signed by the court on approved and incorporated as part of these findings.  [Date] is		
	[]	The residential schedule/parenting plan is the result of an agreement of the parties.  Other:		
2.10 CHILD'S NAME.		D'S NAME.		
	[]	There is not good cause to change the child's name.  There is good cause to change the child's surname to		
2.11	OTHER.			
		III. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
3.1	JURIS	SDICTION.		
	гэ			
	[]	The court has jurisdiction to enter an order in this matter.  The court does not have jurisdiction to enter an order because this matter was begun more than two years after the date the Acknowledgment of Paternity, [] and Denial of Paternity, was filed with the Washington State Registrar of Vital Statistics.		

## 3.2 DISPOSITION.

The court shall enter an order on challenge:

	[]		ceeding to challenge the Acknowledgment of			
	Paternity was not timely begun.  [] Granting the Petition for Challenge to Acknowledgment of Paternity and declaring Acknowledgment of Paternity is invalid because it was signed under fraud, dures					
	material mistake of fact.  [] Declaring the Denial of Paternity is void.  [] Denying the Petition for Challenge to Acknowledgment of Paternity.  [] Declaring, based upon genetic testing, the father and child relationship [] exists [] does not exist between the acknowledged father and the child and that the father and child					
	[] [] [] []	relationship is in the best interest of the child.  Making provision for an amended birth certificate of the child.  Changing the surname of the child to [Surname].  Declaring [Name] to be the father of the child.  Amending the birth certificate of the child to identify the father.  Determining child support for the child pursuant to the Washington State Child Support Schedule and ordering either or both parents to maintain or provide health insurance coverage for the child and to pay extraordinary uninsured costs proportionate to their				
	[]		pport, medical and other expenses incurred on			
	[]	behalf of the child.  Making provision for a residential sched Awarding court costs, genetic test costs, fees.	lule/parenting plan. guardian ad litem, attorney and other reasonable			
	[]	Other:				
3.3	ОТНЕ	₹.				
Dated:						
			Judge/Commissioner			
Present	ed by:		Approved for entry: Notice of presentation waived:			
Signatu	ıre		Signature			
Print or	r Type N	ame	Print or Type Name			